NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW Y		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
<b>v</b> .	Plaintiff,	6:15-CV-965
JASON GROAH,		
	Defendant.	

Thomas J. McAvoy, Senior District Judge.

## **DECISION & ORDER**

The Plaintiff moves for default judgment in this action concerning an unpaid student-loan obligation. The United States filed this action on August 7, 2015, alleging that Defendant Jason Groah signed a promissory note, that the Plaintiff had demanded payment, and that Defendant had failed to meet his obligation. See dkt. # 1.

Defendant was served with the Complaint, but did not file an answer. See dkt. # 6.

On October 8, 2015, Plaintiff filed a request for entry of default with the Court. See dkt. #

7. The Court entered default on the same day. See dkt. # 9. Plaintiff then filed a motion for default judgment. See dkt. # 11. After Plaintiff filed that motion, Defendant filed affidavits in opposition, indicating that he intended to answer the Complaint. See dkt. #s

14-15. Upon receipt of those affidavits, the Court denied the motion for default judgment with leave to renew should Defendant fail to answer the Complaint. See dkt. # 17.

Defendant eventually withdrew his answer to the Complaint, see dkt. # 18, and Plaintiff again requested entry of default. See dkt. # 38. The Clerk entered default. See dkt. # 40. Plaintiff then filed the instant motion for default judgment, which Defendant failed to

answer. See dkt. # 42.

Though the Court is to "[accept] as true all well pleaded allegations against a defaulting defendant for purposes of determining liability," the Plaintiff is still required to present evidence to establish the amount of damages. Finkel v. Romanowicz, 577 F.3d 79, 83 n.6 (2d Cir. 2009). Thus, "the quantum of damages must be established by proof unless the amount is liquidated or susceptible to mathematical computation." Flaks v. Koegel, 504 F.2d 702, 707 (2d Cir. 1974). Though "the court must ensure that there is a basis for the damages specified in a default judgment, it may, but need not, make the determination through a hearing." Bravado Int'l Group Merch. Servcs. v. Ninna, Inc., 655 F.Supp.2d 177, 190 (E.D.N.Y. 2009) (quoting Fustok v. Conticommodity Servs., Inc., 122 F.R.D. 151, 156 (S.D.N.Y. 1988)). Instead, "the court may rely on detailed affidavits or documentary evidence . . . to evaluate the proposed sum." Fustok, 122 F.R.D. at 156.

The record in this case, which includes the original loan contract as an exhibit to the Complaint and affidavits from the Plaintiff's attorney, provides sufficient evidence by which the Court can calculate the amount of damages to which the United States is entitled.

Therefore, based on the evidence supplied by the Plaintiff, the Court will **GRANT** the motion for default judgment, dkt. #42. Judgment is hereby granted against Defendant Jason Groah in the following amounts:

1.	Principal Balance	\$ 83,853.70
	Total Interest Accrued	\$ 31,117.63
	Total	 \$  114.971.33: and

- 2. Interest to be calculated at 4.875% per annum from the date of judgment.
- 3. Plaintiff is also entitled to costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1921 for service and

travel of \$ 25.00.

Thomas J. Me Voy Senior, U.S. District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 31, 2018